

# TOWN OF GRANITE QUARRY BOARD OF ALDERMEN SPECIAL CALLED MEETING MINUTES BUDGET WORKSHOP

**Thursday, May 21, 2020** 

**Present:** Mayor Bill Feather, Mayor Pro Tem John Linker, Alderman Jim Costantino, Alderman Doug Shelton

Not Present: Alderman Kim Cress

**Staff:** Town Manager Larry Smith, Town Clerk Aubrey Smith, Fire Chief/ Maintenance Supervisor Jason Hord, Police Chief Mark Cook, Finance Officer Shelly Shockley

**Call to Order:** Mayor Feather called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.

#### 1. Approval of the Agenda

**ACTION:** Mayor Pro Tem Linker made a motion to approve the agenda as presented. Alderman Costantino seconded the motion. The motion passed with all in favor.

#### 2. Discussion

#### FY 20-21 Budget

Mr. Smith reviewed the attached handout with the Board. The Board made statements, asked questions, and discussed priorities for the budget.

Highlights and follow-up items from the discussion:

- The majority of the Board felt the tax rate should remain the same. Mayor Feather felt the tax rate should be lowered to an even .41.
- Alderman Shelton requested that the Fund Balance Policy be amended to add a dollar figure rather than a percentage for what should remain in the account. He asked that this be discussed at a future meeting. The Town Manager will distribute copies of the current Fund Balance Policy for review.
- The Town Manager will draft a Non-Profit policy for the Board's review at the next meeting.
- The Town Manager will draft a Longevity / Bonus policy for the Board's review at the next meeting.
- The Joint Police Authority agreement amendment will be placed on the next meeting agenda.
- There was Board consensus to discontinue the administrative Business Registration program.
- The Town Manager will prepare the Budget Message for the Board and present it to them next week.
- The Board will discuss whether to terminate the State of Emergency at the next meeting.

# Adjourn

**ACTION:** Alderman Costantino made a motion to adjourn. Alderman Shelton seconded the motion. The motion passed with all in favor.

The meeting ended at 5:46 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Aubrey Smith
Town Clerk

# BOARD OF ALDERMEN BUDGET WORKSHOP

May 21, 2020 4:00pm

#### 1. Overall status & approach

- Reconstructed and thoroughly evaluated budget this FY; much more accurate Downside is COVID impacts over next year
- Capital & Grant Project Ordinances, training(!) and efficiencies in procedures
- Budgeting worst-case and with latest data and forecasting possible
   Cut capital expenditures where possible; prioritize others for review of revenues / consideration of budget amendments at the end of each quarter (possibly + a month)

#### 2. Revenue Forecasting

- A. Ad Valorem Taxes (41% of this year's budget)
  - Projected EOY collections actually up from what we budgeted
  - Clarifying Tax Office's new reporting format to calculate statutory max tax percentage
- B. State shared distributions (37% of this year's budget)

Local Option Sales Tax (Articles 39-44 + hold harmless)

- Projected EOY estimate \$742,920
- Projected FY20-21: Moderate: \$761,930; Severe \$745,529; Most Conservative \$719,146

<u>Utilities Franchise Taxes</u> (Electricity, Piped Natural Gas, Telecommunications, Video Franchise Fee)

- Projected EOY estimate \$145,050
- Projected FY20-21: \$144,484
- C. End of year projecting generally; "rollover" balance especially from COVID reductions

#### 3. Policy v Administration

- A. Fund Balance
  - Current target is to maintain 50% of state peer group average (64%) = 32%
  - Manager's red flag is state peer group average (64%)
  - As of April 30<sup>th</sup>, available (unrestricted) FB was \$1.95 million (75.66%)
- B. Powell Bill Streets and Sidewalks policies / budgeting

  Drafting up to account for loan amortization schedule, reserves, and streets/sidewalks split
- C. Nonprofit funding Nonprofit Funding Request Policy / Program

  Recommendation is to not add funding to it FY20-21 though, or at least > 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter review
- D. "Needs v wants", "Spend it or lose it", trust-building between Board and staff Budget amendments v factoring in a little more leeway?

- 4. Salaries, Recruitment and Retention
  - A. Salary Survey (statewide & local comps)
  - B. Structural Assessment (Admin spreadsheet)
    - Admin planning
    - PD / COPS Grant
  - C. Work environment / retention & recruiting
    - Participation Award
    - Detractors
    - Boosters (training, resources)
    - Teambuilding (depts AND with Board)
  - D. Longevity Pay (formula?)
  - E. Professional Services (Planning, Finance consultants)
- 5. Joint Police Authority
  - A. Handout from May 20th JPA meeting regarding budget formula
  - B. Capital Outlay Vehicle: Rollover funds, revisit 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> quarter
  - C. 10 positions (including the 2 added FY19-20); 1 frozen
  - D. COPS grant would pay 1 position Yr 1: 1--%;
- 6. Generally
  - A. Schedule of Fees
    - Full review
    - Business Registration Fees: 18/19 = \$350; 19/20 = \$690
  - B. Review of carry-over goals & priorities

# **Agenda Item Summary**

Joint Police Authority Meeting May 20, 2020 Agenda Item 3

### **Summary**

At the last meeting's discussion on the JPA budget formula, JPA asked if staff could supply some scenario calculations based on population and budget increases. Please see the attached illustrations and notes.

There are a lot of potential benefits to moving to a flat rate (e.g., budgets, governing). To ensure the protection of both towns' interests, a flat rate would simply need to be reviewed every few years to make sure the amount was still equitable and reflecting then-current conditions.

If the JPA decides to recommend moving to a flat rate agreement, the following motion could be made. Staff from both towns would then work together to qualify the motion and draft the appropriate format for consideration by the two Town Boards. Each Board can then discuss the amount and terms

# **JPA Budget Formula**

Motion Made By: Chairman Smith Mayor Feather Mayor Moody Mayor Pro-Tem Linker Mayor Pro-Tem Lyerly	
Second By: Chairman Smith Mayor Feather Mayor Moody Mayor Pro-Tem Linker Mayor Pro-Tem Lyerly	
For: Chairman Smith Mayor Feather Mayor Moody Mayor Pro-Tem Linker Mayor Pro-Tem Lyerly	
Against: Chairman Smith Mayor Feather Mayor Moody Mayor Pro-Tem Linker Mayor Pro-Tem Lyerly	

## Action Requested

Motion to recommend moving to a flat rate of \$\_\_\_\_\_ for the Town of Faith's portion of the JPA budget for a period of two years).

#### **Summary - JPA Budget Formula**

At the last meeting's discussion on the JPA budget formula, JPA asked if staff could supply some scenario calculations based on population and budget increases. Below are some illustrations.

Village at Granite advises that construction is slowed down and they're not sure what to expect until - and even after - COVID impacts level out. We just used that development as an example since it is a familiar reference.

- Scenarios 1 and 2 show different budget amounts for comparison.
- A and B for each scenario show how hypothetical population increases in GQ (and if there was no increase in Faith's pop) would affect Towns' shares by formula vs flat rate.

	Proposed JPA Budget without vehicle							
	Scenario 1  Current Conditions and Proposed Budget (- vehicle)  Budget \$687,140		GQ Population Change Village at Granite 53 Homes (+~159 pop)		1B GQ Population Change Village at Granite 100 Homes (+~300 pop)			
-								
Budget			\$68	7,140	\$68	7,140		
GQ population	3120		<u>3279</u>		<u>3420</u>			
aith population	on 830		830		830			
	By % Formula		By % Formula		By % Formula			
GQ	79.0%	\$542,754	79.8%	\$548,341	80.5%	\$552,946		
Faith	21.0%	\$144,386	20.2%	\$138,799	19.5%	\$134,194		
	By <u>Flat Rate</u>		By <u>Flat Rate</u>		By <u>Flat Rate</u>			
GQ	80.2%	\$551,140	80.2%	\$551,140	80.2%	\$551,140		
Faith	19.8%	\$136,000	19.8%	\$136,000	19.8%	\$136,000		

	Proposed JPA Budget with vehicle							
1	Scer	Scenario 2		2A		2B		
	Current Conditions and Proposed Budget (+ vehicle) Budget \$733,140		GQ Population Change Village at Granite 53 Homes (+~159 pop)		GQ Population Change Village at Granite 100 Homes (+~300 pop)			
Budget			\$73	3,140	\$73	3,140		
GQ population	3120		3279		3420			
Faith population	830		8	330	8	330		
	By % Formula		By % Formula		By % Formula			
GQ	79.0%	\$579,088	79.8%	\$585,049	80.5%	\$589,962		
Faith	21.0%	\$154,052	20.2%	\$148,091	19.5%	\$143,178		
	By <u>Flat Rate</u>		By <u>Flat Rate</u>		By <u>Flat Rate</u>			
GQ	81.4%	\$597,140	81.4%	\$597,140	81.4%	\$597,140		
Faith	18.6%	\$136,000	18.6%	\$136,000	18.6%	\$136,000		

I just used the flat rate of \$136,000 that the Town of Faith advised me they were discussing. If the two Boards were to consider a flat rate moving forward, then *whatever* that flat rate is, the charts above illustrate at what point that flat rate would cross the threshold of the original percentage formula's amount (for instance, <u>if</u> the flat rate was \$136,000, and <u>if</u> GQ was to grow by 300 people and Faith remained the same, then the highlighted cells show that would be about the "break even" point).